

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,487	02/03/2004		Jerry Wu	2859	
25859	7590	12/08/2004		EXAM	INER
WEI TE CH		TIONIAL DIG	ZARROLI, MICHAEL C		
FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL, INC. 1650 MEMOREX DRIVE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CLA	SANTA CLARA, CA 95050				
				DATE MAILED: 12/08/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/771,487	WU ET AL.				
nancery near.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael C. Zarroli	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	·					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 4-6 and 10.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 7-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)☐ disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449)					
10. Other:		$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$				
vee -	MARY EXAMINER	Michael C. Zarroli Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2839				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

`* .

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner must read claims as broadly as reasonable. Beaman shield plate does not appear to be "fixed" to anything. The plate appears to be slid on. Specification does say "attached" but examiner interprets "attached" to mean slid on not "fixed to." Also, Beaman plate is "attached" to the ground and outer shield not the two inner lines. Note apparent gap between lines and shield in say figure 5. No where in claims is interference fit rectied.